

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/730,817	12/08/2003	Daniel Owen	1801270.00134US1	4224
23483 WILMERHAL	7590 11/30/200 E/BOSTON		EXAMINER	
60 STATE STREET			KANG, INSUN	
BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2193	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/30/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

michael.mathewson@wilmerhale.com teresa.carvalho@wilmerhale.com sharon.matthews@wilmerhale.com

W

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/730,817	OWEN ET AL.				
morrien cumuly	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Insun Kang	2193				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Insun Kang (examiner)</u> .	(3)Mr. Robinson(UK repre	sentative).				
(2) <u>Mr. Demsher (reg. 42478)</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 November 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Souloglou</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>During the interview</u> , <u>Applicant representatives explained about the invention and the examiner explained her interpretation of the claims. The examiner stated that the claims do not recite that the different nodes such as base and complex nodes are in a same IR tree. The representatives stated that claims will be amendened to further clarify the invention and to overcome the prior art.</u>						
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
•						
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's sign	ature, if required				